



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,322	07/08/2003	Robert Koch	F-7886	1374
28107	7590 06/24/2004		EXAMINER	
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP			PATEL, KIRAN B	
122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10168		3612	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m
$\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,322	KOCH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
\	Kiran B. Patel	3612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commur  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08.	April 2004.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the mer	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	ı.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-19	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in a iority documents have been	Application No	je
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		t received.	
222 22222 223 223 223			
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4\ \ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No.	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/8/04</u> .	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)

# Non-Final Paiection

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Following claims, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims, elected for prosecution, are confusing and are not clear because claimed limitations, (claim 1. "e.g., of an articulated bus, the corrugation or pleat in the corner zone of the bellows being comprised, for the purpose of increasing the expansion of the bellows in the corner zone, of a pleat or corrugation insert unit having a plurality of pleats or corrugations, with said pleat or corrugation insert unit (20) tapering in the region of the upper end thereof toward the vertex (11) of the pleat (10) or corrugation where it is connected to said pleat or corrugation"; claim 2 "e.g., from injection moulded PU"; claim 3 "the pleats (10) or corrugations of the pleat or corrugation insert unit (20) have a height that corresponds to the height of the pleat (10) or corrugation receiving the pleat or corrugation insert unit (21, 22, 23)"; claim 4 "the pleat (20) or corrugation insert unit tapers to approximately the thickness of the pleat or corrugation material in the vertex (11) of the pleat or corrugation"; claim 5 "characterized in that the vertex of the pleats or corrugations of the pleat (20) or corrugation insert unit is provided with a border

Art Unit: 3612

Ĭ

element (25), e.g., in the form of a frame"; claim 6 "characterized in that, the height of the pleat (10) or corrugation receiving the pleat or corrugation insert unit (20) is much lower in the bottom region than in the region of the side wall"), are not shown in the figures and/or lacks support in the specification and therefore fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These limitations must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Applicant is requested to go through the application and ensure that the claimed matter has been described in the specification and shown in the drawing in such a way as to convey to one skilled in the art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Correction is required.

# Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

# Conclusion

- 2. The prior art made of record in attached Notice of Reference Cited (PTO-892) and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This art of record shows various features similar to the applicant's invention.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-0254. The

Application/Control Number: 10/616,322

Art Unit: 3612

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612 June 8, 2004 Page 4

}